

## **Examining the Relation between Gender Disparity in Health and Employment, Education, and Family Roles: Evidence from Taiwan**

(Extended Abstract)

### **BACKGROUND**

The rapid industrialization and economic growth have made Taiwan a society with a modern health care system and high life expectancy. In 2002 the life expectancy for men is 73.3 years and that for women is 78.82 years (Department of Health, Taiwan. 2003). However, it does not necessarily mean that Taiwanese women are healthier and have been receiving good medical care. Compare to men, Taiwanese women experience inequality in education, income, and social roles (Baraka 1999). The wage discrimination against female workers increased in past decades in Taiwan (Zveglich et al. 1997). While facing the challenge from the incompatibility of work and family roles, many Taiwanese women work in husbands' family firms to fulfill their economic contribution (Yi and Chien 2002). Working in husbands' family firms is "a compromise between demand from both family and work institutions, much more salient than the effect of women's human capital and personal work attitudes" (Yi and Chien 2001: 149). Great gender disparities in work and reward in Taiwanese family firms have been reported (Greenhalgh 1994).

In addition, the interaction effects of education and work on health in Taiwan society have been investigated. Evidence showed that higher levels of perceived job stress were found among those who were younger, with higher education level, and working for longer hours per week, and higher levels of job stress significantly increased risks of multiple health problems for workers. (Cheng and colleagues 2001) For Taiwanese women, the disadvantageous positions in both work and education could largely contribute to their worse health than men.

### **Relationship of Gender Roles to Health**

In general men report better health than women (Ross and Bird 1994). The socioeconomic stratification between sexes contributes to this sex differences in health (Denton and Walters 1999). Simple occupancy of work and family roles does not explain away gender differences in health (Rushing and Schwabe 1995). Role characteristics or role quality may be more important in determining health than simple role occupancy (Bullers 1994).

Full-time employment predicts slower declines in health (Ross and Mirowsky 1995). Women are more likely to work part-time and have fewer subjective work rewards (Ross and Bird 1994). Even for employed women, wide gender inequalities in terms of pay and power of employed women (O'Campo et al. 2004). Evidence showed that women who were economically inactive were more likely to report

unhealthy than women in paid work (Macran et al. 1994).

Marriage and parenthood are associated with longevity and good mental and physical health (Macintyre 1992; Wyke and Ford 1992). Staying in marriage is beneficial to health (Joung et al. 1997). Men are more likely to be married during their lifetime than women (Ross and Bird 1994), and married men have lower morbidity rates than men who are not married (Joung et al. 1997). Women living in two parent families and having children had better health than women living other family types or on their own. (Lahelma et al. 2002)

Gender differences in health effects of employment were related to family responsibilities and social class (Artazcoz et al. 2004; Gjerdingen et al. 2000). The multiple burden hypothesis predicts that combining a paid job, being married, and having children is likely to have negative effects on women's health (Lahelma et al. 2002). The combination of domestic labor and formal paid employment could predict worse health for women (Blane 2001). Some study suggested that the significant marriage protection effects on health are only for women who are not employed (Waldron et al. 1996). Among full-time employed women, lone mothers with dependent children were found to have particularly poor psyche-social health (Macran et al. 1996). Also, among female workers of low educational level, family demands showed a negative effect in most health indicators. Also, among women of low educational level, living with elderly had showed a negative association with poor health status (Artazcoz et al. 2001, 2004).

Based on the discussion above, this paper intends to examine the relation between gender disparity in health and employment, education, and family roles.

## **DATA AND METHODS**

The present research analyzes the data in the Panel Study of Family Dynamics (PSFD) in Taiwan. This research was conducted by the Institute of Economics, Academia Sinica since 1999. The objective of the PSFD study was "to construct a panel data set for families, containing the information of economics, social, psychological and ethnological aspects of Chinese families" (Chu, 1999:1). The data set adopted in the present research consisted of three samples. The first sample was interviewed between 1999/01/01 and 1999/03/31; the second sample was interviewed between 2000/01/01 and 2000/03/31; and the third sample was interviewed between 2002/08/01 and 2003/07/31. The mode of the data collection was face to face interview. The target population of the first sample is non-institutionalized individuals who were born during year 1953 to 1963 (age 35 to 45 in 1999). The second sample consists of people who were born during year 1933 to 1953 (age 45 to 65 in 2000). The third sample consists of people who were born during year 1964 to 1976 (age 26

to 38). The sample size was 994, 1959, and 1152 for each sample respectively. The total of the merged data set contains 4015 respondents.

Self-evaluated health and limiting long-standing illness are used as measures of health status for this study. Employment status (unemployment, part-time employment, full-time employment, and long-hours employment) and types of employment (self-employed, familial firms employment, and non-familial firms employment) are measured as individuals' characters of employment. Family roles factors are measured by individuals' marital status, living with dependent children (under 15 years old), and living with people over age 65. Controlled variables include age, gender, and household income.

This paper examines the relation between gender disparity in health and employment, education, and family roles. Three research questions are addressed by applying logistic and OLS models. Age is controlled in all models. First, I explore what explanatory factors mainly account for the gender differentials in health in Taiwan. I estimate logistic models of two health measures and examine which factors can better explain the different odds of being healthy between two genders. Second, I examine whether the relationships between types of employment and health are different by gender. To test the interaction effect of gender and type of employment, I estimate different logistic models of health measures for men and women. Three types of employment are included as main explanatory variables. Educational attainment and household income are controlled for each model. Third, I examine whether the health effects of family demands and employment status are different by gender and educational attainment. Respondents are divided into four group according to their gender and level of educational (high or low), and I estimate OLS regression models of health measures for each group.

## RESULTS

Adjusted for age and household income, the gender disparity in health is mainly explained by gender differences in employment status. However, the positive health effect of employment decreases as working hours extended to 50 hours or longer per week. Educational attainments account for men's better self-evaluated health, but are not significantly associated with gender differences in limiting long-standing illness. Gender differentials in self-evaluated health are not explained by living arrangement and family roles. However, lone parents are much more likely to have limiting long-standing illness than those with other living arrangement.

The hypothesis that women working in family firms tend to have worse health outcomes is not supported. On the contrary, adjusted for income and education, women working in family business are more likely to report better health than their

counterparts working for non-family firms and self-employed. Meanwhile, men who work for non-family firms report better health than the other two types of employment. On the other hand, women are more likely to report limiting long-standing illness when working in family business than working for non-family firms. In contrast to women, men working in family business are less likely to report limiting long-standing illness. The positive health effects of self-employment are more apparent to men. Compare to working for non-family business, self-employed men report better health and less illness. On the contrary, self-employed women report more illness and less healthy.

The positive health effect of being married (or cohabited) without having young children only shows for lower educated men. For lower educated men, the health effect of employment increase while working hour increases; however, for higher educated men, it decreases while working hour increases. Higher educated women enjoy greater positive health effect of part-time employment than lower educated women. However, if working 40 hours or longer per week, lower educated women reported better health than higher educated women.

## **DISCUSSION**

Two main limitations need to be considered for this study. First, for using cross-sectional data, the causal relation between employment, marriage and health cannot be empirically identified.. However, existing evidence also suggested that not all of the poor health usually attached to unemployment can be explained in terms of health selection out of the labor market (Macran et al. 1994). Also, only limited evidence for marriage selection effects (Waldron et al. 1996). Thus, drawing conclusion health protection effects of marriage and work from cross-sectional data can be partly justified..

Second, due to the limitation of data set, this study does not include behavioral factors related health status into analysis. Prior research suggested that lifestyle disadvantages men in health (Ross and Bird 1994), but the effects of social position on health were not fully mediated through behavioral risk factors (Sacker et al. 2001). My prior analysis on Taiwanese health data also showed that effects of health behavior became statistically insignificant after employment status was controlled.

The gender differentials in health for Taiwanese people can be explain by the inequality of employment and education among men and women. In contrast to our hypothesis, working for family firms exhibits positive health effects for women. The analysis also showed health effects of work and family roles may different across people with different gender and education levels. This analysis can help government better locate the groups that need assistances on health programs.

**APPENDIX**

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Women and Men in Taiwan, 1999 - 2003

	Women	Men	Total
Health measures			
Self evaluated health	3.63	3.80	3.71
Limiting long-standing illness	10.5%	9.7%	10.1%
Mean Age	44.89	43.76	44.34
Household income (thousand NTD/month)	44.38	63.72	53.80
Educational attainment			
Secondary school or less	10.3%	17.3%	13.7%
High school	23.6%	28.4%	26.0%
Some college	4.6%	5.2%	4.9%
College and up	15.3%	21.7%	18.4%
Work load			
Working hours per week	26.74	42.42	34.28
Domestic labor hours per week	17.39	5.94	11.80
Employment status			
Unemployment %	41.2%	14.9%	28.4%
Part-time employment %	10.5%	10.8%	10.6%
Full-time employment %	30.3%	40.3%	35.2%
Long-hour employment (50+ hr/wk) %	16.8%	30.6%	23.5%
Type of employment			
Self-employed%	7.8%	18.8%	13.1%
Employed by family firms	7.0%	1.6%	4.3%
Employed by non-family firms	44.2%	64.7%	54.2%
Living arrangement/family roles			
Living with people >age 65	21.0%	20.8%	20.9%
Singles living without children <age 15	20.3%	20.5%	20.4%
Couples living without children <age 15	47.6%	41.0%	44.4%
Singles living with children <age 15	1.7%	1.5%	1.6%
Couples living with children <age 15	30.5%	37.1%	33.7%
N	1994	2111	4105

Table 2. Odds Ratio for Sex Differences in Poor Self-reported Health

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Sex				
Female	1.26***	1.21***	1.21***	1.11
Male	-	-	-	-
Age	1.03***	1.02***	1.02***	1.02***
Household income/poverty line	0.92***	0.95***	0.95***	0.97**
Education				
College or higher		0.58***	0.57***	0.57***
Some college		0.64**	0.63***	0.65**
High school		0.61***	0.61***	0.61***
Secondary or below		-	-	-
Family roles				
Couples with young children			0.92	0.91
Couples without young children			0.88	0.87
Singles with young children			1.09	1.07
Single without young children			-	-
Living with elderly			0.91	0.91
Employment status				
Long-hours employment				0.72***
Full-time employment				0.66***
Part-time employment				0.60***
Unemployment				-
-2*Log-likelihood	4863.541	4822.027	4818.806	4793.545

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.5; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 3. Odds Ratio for Sex Differences in Having Limiting Long-standing Illness

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Sex				
Female	0.92	0.90	0.89	0.77**
Male	-	-	-	-
Age	1.03***	1.03***	1.02***	1.02**
Household income/poverty line	0.75***	0.77***	0.78***	0.87***
Education				
College or higher		0.75	0.75	0.71
Some college		0.53	0.51*	0.49*
High school		0.84	0.84	0.82
Secondary or below		-	-	-
Family roles				
Couples with young children			0.83	0.73*
Couples without young children			1.09	1.02
Singles with young children			2.32**	2.24**
Single without young children			-	-
Living with elderly			1.12	1.13
Employment status				
Long-hours employment				0.58***
Full-time employment				0.41***
Part-time employment				0.52***
Unemployment				
-2*Log-likelihood	2261.151	2255.546	2247.541	2218.003

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.5; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 4. Odds Ratio for Good Self-evaluated Health

	Model 1		Model 2		Model3	
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Age	0.98***	0.97***	0.98***	0.97***	0.99**	0.99**
Types of employment						
Non-familial employment	1.87***	1.61***	1.71***	1.59***	1.69***	1.54***
Familial employment	1.16	1.63***	1.57	1.84***	1.61	1.93***
Self-employment	1.78***	0.97	1.62***	0.93	1.75***	1.01
Unemployment	-	-	-	-	-	-
Household income/poverty line			1.07***	1.04**	1.05*	1.02
Education						
10 years or more of education					1.60***	1.69***
9 years or less of education						
-2*Log-likelihood	2563.572	2782.280	2314.548	2512.891	2296.572	2492.204

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.5; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 5. Odds Ratio for Having Limiting Long-Standing Illness

	Model 1		Model 2		Model3	
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Age	1.02***	1.04***	1.02***	1.03***	1.02*	1.02**
Types of employment						
Non-familial employment	0.32***	0.38***	0.45***	0.49***	0.44***	0.49***
Familial employment	0.14*	0.47**	0.22	0.37**	0.22	0.37**
Self-employment	0.28**	0.54**	0.39***	0.77	0.36***	0.75
Unemployment	-	-	-	-	-	-
Household income/poverty line			0.87**	0.80***	0.90*	0.80***
Education						
10 years or more of education					0.68*	0.82
9 years or less of education					-	-
-2*Log-likelihood	1189.030	1313.618	1070.318	1159.207	1066.009	1157.381

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.5; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 6. Coefficients for Self-Evaluated Health Index (1-5) by Gender

	Men		Women	
	B	Beta	B	Beta
Age	-0.0120***	-0.142***	-0.0107***	-0.118***
Household income/poverty line	0.0025***	0.038***	0.0116	0.035
Years of Education				
10 years or more	0.212***	0.115***	0.217***	0.112***
9 years or less	-	-	-	-
Family roles				
Couples with young children	0.0233	0.012	0.0827	0.040
Couples without young children	0.120*	0.064*	0.0213	0.011
Singles with young children	-0.177	-0.023	0.119	0.015
Single without young children	-			
Living with elderly	0.0399	0.018	0.0188	0.008
Employment status				
Long-hours employment	0.302***	0.153***	0.151**	0.058**
Full-time employment	0.282***	0.152***	0.265***	0.127***
Part-time employment	0.387***	0.129***	0.212***	0.067***
Unemployment	-	-	-	-
Constant	3.895	-	3.816	-
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.069		0.093

\*p&lt;0.1; \*\*p&lt;0.5; \*\*\*p&lt;0.01

Table 7. Coefficients for Self-Evaluated Health Index (1-5) by Gender and Educational Levels

	Men						Women					
	Lower Education			Higher Education			Lower Education			Higher Education		
	B	Beta		B	Beta		B	Beta		B	Beta	
Age	-0.0152***	-0.154***		-0.0080***	-0.090***		-0.0127***	-0.109***		-0.0062	-0.058	
Household income/poverty line	0.0034	0.044		0.0019	0.034		0.0151	0.038		0.0032	0.012	
Family roles												
Couples with young children	0.128	0.057		-0.0548	-0.033		0.103	0.036		0.0783	0.047	
Couples without young children	0.257**	0.129**		-0.0087	-0.005		-0.0288	-0.014		0.100	0.050	
Singles with young children	-0.0323	-0.004		-0.346	-0.045		0.0122	0.001		0.119	0.023	
Single without young children	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
Living with elderly	0.0662	0.028		0.0043	0.002		-0.0129	-0.005		0.0716	0.034	
Employment status												
Long-hours employment	0.384***	0.175***		0.157	0.090		0.206**	0.074**		0.0553	0.025	
Full-time employment	0.334***	0.154***		0.164*	0.100*		0.323***	0.123***		0.201***	0.120***	
Part-time employment	0.341***	0.117***		0.373***	0.125***		0.180*	0.054*		0.213**	0.079**	
Unemployment	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
Constant	3.905	-		4.139	-		3.938	-		3.917	-	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.055			0.014			0.046			0.007	

## REFERENCE

- Artazcoz, L., C. Borrell, and J. Benach. 2001. "Gender Inequalities in Health among Workers: the Relation with Family Demands." *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 55: 639-47.
- Artazcoz, L., J. Benach, C. Borrell, and I. Cortes. 2004. "Unemployment and Mental Health: Understanding the Interactions among Gender, Family Roles, and Social Class." *American Journal of Public Health* 94: 82-88.
- Artazcoz, L., C. Borrell, J. Benach, I. Cortes, and I. Rohlfs. 2004. "Women, Family Demands and health: The Importance of Employment Status and Socio-economic Position." *Social Science & Medicine* 59: 263-74.
- Baraka, J. L. 1999. "The Gap Remains: Gender and Earnings in Taiwan" Research Program in Development Studies Working Papers. Princeton University. (<http://www.wws.princeton.edu/~rpd/papers.html>)
- Blane, D. L. Berney, and S. M. Montgomery. 2001. "Domestic Labour, Paid Employment and Women's Health: Analysis of Life Course Data." *Social Science & Medicine* 52: 959-65
- Bullers, S. "Women's Roles and Health: The Mediating Effect of Perceived Control." *Women & Health* 22: 11-30.
- Cheng, Y., Y. L. Guo, and W. Y. Yeh. 2001. "A National Survey of Psychosocial Job Stressors and Their Implications for Health among Working People in Taiwan." *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health* 74: 495-504.
- Chu, C. C.Y. 1999. "The Introduction of the Panel Study of Family Dynamics in Taiwan." The Institute of Economics, Academia Sinica.
- Denton, M. and V. Walters. 1999. "Gender Differences in Structural and Behavioral Determinants of health: an Analysis of the Social Production of Health." *Social Science & Medicine* 48: 1221-35.
- Department of Health, Executive Yuan, Taiwan. 2003. "Health Statistics" ([http://www.doh.gov.tw/dohenglish/Upload/Statistics/S06/91\\_14.xls](http://www.doh.gov.tw/dohenglish/Upload/Statistics/S06/91_14.xls))
- Gjerdingen, D. P. McGovern, M. Bekker, U. Lundberg, and T. Willemssen. 2000. "Women's Work Roles and Their Impact on health, Well-being, and Career: Comparisons between the United States, Sweden, and the Netherlands." *Women & Health* 31: 1-20.
- Greenhalgh, S. 1994. "De-orientalizing the Chinese Family Firm." *American Ethnologist* 21: 746-75.
- Joung, I. M. A., K. Stronks, H. van de Mheen, F. W. A. van Poppel, J. B. W. van der Meer, and J. P. Mackenbach. 1997. "The Contribution of Intermediary Factors to Marital Status Differences in Self-Reported Health." *Journal of Marriage and*

- the Family* 59:476-90.
- Lahelma, E., S. Arber, K. Kivela, and E. Roos. 2002. "Multiple Roles and Health among British and Finnish Women: the Influence of Socioeconomic Circumstances." *Social Science & Medicine* 54: 727-40.
- Macintyre, S. 1992. "The Effects of Family Position and Status on Health." *Social Science & Medicine* 35: 453-64.
- Macran, S., L. Clarke, A. Sloggett, and A. Bethune. "Women's Socioeconomic Status and Self-assessed Health: Identifying Some Disadvantaged Groups." *Sociology of Health & Illness* 16: 182-208.
- Macran, S., L. Clarke, and H. Joshi. 1996. "Women's Health: Dimensions and Differentials." *Social Science & Medicine* 42: 1203-16.
- O'Campo, P., W. W. Eaton, and C. Muntaner. 2004. "Labor Market Experience, Work Organization, Gender Inequalities and Health Status: Results from a Prospective Analysis of US Employed Women." *Social Science & Medicine* 58: 585-94.
- Ross C. E. and C. E. Bird. 1994. "Sex Stratification and Health Lifestyle: Consequences for Men's and Women's Perceived Health." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 35:161-78.
- Ross, C. E. and J. Mirowsky. 1995. "Does Employment Affect Health?" *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 36: 230-43.
- Rushing, B. and A. Schwabe. 1995. "The Health-effects of Work and Family Role Characteristics: Gender and Race Comparisons." *Sex Roles* 33: 59-75.
- Sacker, A. M. Bartley, D. Firth, and R. Fitzpatrick. "Dimensions of Social Inequality in the Health of Women in England: Occupational, Material and Behavioural Pathways." *Social Science & Medicine* 52: 763-81.
- Waldron, I. M. E. Hughes, and T. L. Brooks. 1996. "Marriage Protection and Marriage Selection: Prospective Evidence for Reciprocal Effects of Marital Status and Health." *Social Science & Medicine* 43: 113-23.
- Wyke, S. and G. Ford. 1992. "Competing Explanations for Associations between Marital Status and Health." *Social Science & Medicine* 34: 523-32.
- Yi, C.C. and W. Y. Chien. 2001. "Continual Employment for Married Women: A Compromise between Family System and Labor Market." *Taiwanese Sociology* 1: 149-82.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2002. "The Linkage between Work and Family: Female's Employment Patterns in Three Chinese Societies." *Journal of Comparative Family Studies* 33:451-74.
- Zveglich, J. E., Y. V. Rodgers, and W. M. Rogers. 1997. "The Persistence of Gender Earnings Inequality in Taiwan, 1978-1992." *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 50: 594-609